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Overview
This course focuses on how nutrition-related public policy influences dietary habits and health. The course will consider the nutritional consequences of food production and marketing, dietary advice to the public, and food choices. The course also examines hunger and malnutrition, and the evolution of a range of policies and programs designed to treat hunger and undernutrition. Existing policies and programs will also be investigated in relation to the changing food consumption patterns in American society.

Core Knowledge for the RD
KRD 4.3 The curriculum includes the fundamentals of public policy, including the legislative and regulatory basis of dietetic practice

Objectives
1. The curriculum includes the fundamentals of public policy, including legislative and regulatory basis of dietetics practices. Students must be able to explain the impact of a public policy position on dietetics practice.

2. Define what is meant by policy, and explain how policies differ from programs.

3. Describe the principal areas of domestic food and nutrition policy—e.g., food assistance, dietary guidance and education, nutrition surveillance and monitoring, agricultural support, food industry regulation, food safety regulation, food and nutrition research—and the most important current issues related to those policy areas.

4. Identify the governmental agencies primarily responsible for each area of food and nutrition policy, explain their roles, and describe their principal policy goals, objectives, and methods.

5. Identify the ways in which social, cultural, economic, commercial, and institutional factors promote or act as barriers to the design and implementation of agriculture, food, and nutrition policies and programs, and the ways in which these policies and programs affect health.

6. Identify the principal health-related problems linked to food and nutrition. Explain how these problems may (or may not) be linked to domestic food policy.
Readings

Textbooks:


Student Evaluations will be based on 400 points as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Project-</td>
<td>200 points each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 assignments-</td>
<td>50 points each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In class activities-</td>
<td>100 points collectively</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grade assignment: Based on percentage of 400 points as follows: (please do not ask about the grade scale as it is here for you):

- A 93 - 100%
- A- 90 - 92.9
- B+ 87 - 89.9
- B 83 - 86.9
- B- 80 - 82.9
- C+ 77 - 79.9
- C 73 - 76.9
- C- 70 - 72.9
- D+ 67 - 69.9
- D 63 - 66.9
- D- 60 - 62.9
- F \( \leq 59.9 \)

Empirical Articles:
- Listed below and available through Blackboard

Review of basic nutrition

The nutrition reference for this course is the *Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2005*. Everyone needs to read this for the dietary guidance case study but you should read it right away and refer to it frequently if you are not majoring in nutrition. The complete 70-page document is at:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WEEK</th>
<th>FOOD POLICY TOPIC</th>
<th>READINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>Setting and evaluating policy goals: preventing obesity</td>
<td>Bardach’s Practical Guide for Policy Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Browse: CDC site: <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/obesity/index.html">http://www.cdc.gov/obesity/index.html</a> If you have never seen them, play the state maps at <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/obesity/data/trends.html#State">http://www.cdc.gov/obesity/data/trends.html#State</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>Advising the public about diet and health: the policy process</td>
<td>Food Politics: Prefaces, Introduction, and Part 1; pages 376-380 in the Afterword.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Read History of Dietary Guidelines, Appendix E-4 in 2010 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee Report at</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 6  | Advising the public about diet and health: policy implications | **Food Politics:** Part 2  
Read Parts A and B. Browse C. Read one section of D (as decided in class). Browse Appendix E.1. |
| 7-8 | Regulating the food industry: soda taxes | Brownell KD, Warner KE. The perils of ignoring history: big tobacco... *Milbank Quarterly* 2009;87:259–94 at [http://www.valeruddcenter.org/resources/upload/docs/what/industry/FoodTobacco.pdf](http://www.valeruddcenter.org/resources/upload/docs/what/industry/FoodTobacco.pdf)  
| 9 | Regulating the food industry: Food labels, health claims, food (and nutrition) marketing | *Food Politics*, Part 5; Conclusion, Afterword

Nestle M, Ludwig D. Front-of-package food labels: public health or propaganda. JAMA 2010;303;771-772


| 10 | Consumption patterns and fast food nation | *What to Eat: Beverages* 401-450


McDonald's talks up a good game at the London Olympics – USA Today, August 2, 2012


| 11-12 | Preventing hunger and malnutrition | *Poppendieck’s Sweet Charity*


Browse: Food Research and Action Center: [http://frac.org/](http://frac.org/)

Center on Hunger and Poverty. (2002, June). *The consequences of*
hunger and food insecurity for children: Evidence from recent scientific studies. Waltham, MA: Brandeis University, Heller School of Social Policy and Management. (Available on ANGEL)


Assignments

The Kids’ Food Package Analysis (2 pages plus attachments)  DUE:

Analysis of Food Marketing. You have been hired by CNN’s Anderson Cooper to go undercover and conduct an investigation on how food is marketed to children and adolescents. Collect 1 example of food marketing to children or adolescents. If they are available on paper, please attach the advertisement to your written work. In your paper, please describe the advertiser’s

(1) Product,

(2) Target audience (age, gender, race), and
(3) Form of marketing (e.g., TV commercial, advertisement in a magazine or on the internet, prize in a cereal box or fast food meal, logo on school supplies, children’s counting books).

(4) Nutritional content

(5) In your CNN report based on the readings,
   a. What would you tell parents and school administers about the implications of food marketing on children’s development?
   b. Would you change the marketing strategy and if so, how?
   Please support your answers with at least 1 empirical article.

POLICY ADVOCACY PORTFOLIO: DUE

Your task during the semester will be to create a thoughtfully constructed portfolio of items designed to support your position on a policy issue related to some aspect of agriculture, food, or nutrition related to health or the environment. You may pick any issue related to food that you think needs a policy change, as approved by instructors. You will prepare a plan for achieving the policy and will develop the background materials needed to convince others to support your position on the policy. The issue should be one that is determined by city, state, federal, or international governments or agencies. As a minimum, your portfolio should include:

A title along with a one-paragraph summary of the issue, the reason why you think a policy is needed to deal with it (or an existing policy needs to be changed), and the policy or change you are proposing.

A background “white” paper on the issue prepared as if for a member of the government agency or legislative body most able to do something about your issue. This should be no more than 5 pages of text with additional pages of attachments that include references and whatever supporting documents seem relevant. It should explain the issue and why a new policy is needed to address it or an old one should change. You should support your opinion with appropriate references (required) and tables, figures, photographs, or other relevant documents (optional). Construct this as a research-based paper designed to support an argument, counter objections, and convince skeptics.

A letter to the editor of The New York Times or other influential newspaper or magazine. The length and format must meet word limitations and editorial requirements of that publication, e.g. at http://www.nytimes.com/ref/membercenter/help/lettertoeditor.html

An op-ed piece prepared for the New York Times or other influential newspaper e.g. at http://www.nytimes.com/ref/membercenter/help/opedsubmit.html

A letter requesting support from an appropriate advocacy or professional group. This should be directed to an appropriate person in the group and can be formatted for electronic submission or snail mail. It should be no longer than 1.5 pages (with attachments if needed).

A comment to an Internet debate on the topic. Find a website devoted to your topic. Join the debate. Insert links to supporting documents, if appropriate.
A tweet designed to elicit action on your issue. This must be no longer than 120 characters, including spaces and a TinyURL link, to leave room for retweeting.

An annotated reference list of key print and web sources related to your issue. Your list should include background references and those related to positions on your issue from various stakeholders (2 to 4, each). For websites, your short annotation (a sentence or two) should say who runs the site, what position it represents, and, if relevant, who funds it.

It should be obvious from this list of required items that their content will—and should—overlap considerably. Your background paper is your primary resource. You should draw on it and tailor information from it for the other purposes indicated.