## 1. (Gaussian Elimination and Schur Complement)

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ be nonsingular. Suppose that for each $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq m$, the upper-left $k \times k$ block of $A$ is nonsingular. Assume that $A$ is written in the block form $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22}\end{array}\right)$ where $A_{11}$ is $n \times n$ and $A_{22}$ is $(m-n) \times(m-n)$.
(a) Verify the formula

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
-A_{21} A_{11}^{-1} & I
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
0 & A_{22}-A_{21} A_{11}^{-1} A_{12}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for "elimination" of the block $A_{21}$. The matrix $A_{22}-A_{21} A_{11}^{-1} A_{12}$ is known as the Schur complement of $A_{11}$ in $A$.
(b) Suppose now that $A_{21}$ is eliminated row by row by means of $n$ steps of Gaussian elimination without pivoting:

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline U=A, L=I \\
\text { for } k=1 \text { to } n \\
\quad \text { for } j=m-n+1 \text { to } m \\
\quad l_{j k}=u_{j k} / u_{k k} \\
\quad u_{j, k: m}=u_{j, k: m}-l_{j k} u_{k, k: m} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Show that the bottom-right $(m-n) \times(m-n)$ block of the result is again $A_{22}-A_{21} A_{11}^{-1} A_{12}$.

## 2. (Exponential Interpolation)

Some modeling considerations have mandated a search for a function

$$
u(x)=c_{0} e^{c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}}
$$

where the unknown coefficients $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are expected to be nonpositive. Given are data pairs to be interpolated, $\left(x_{0}, z_{0}\right),\left(x_{1}, z_{1}\right)$, and $\left(x_{2}, z_{2}\right)$, where $z_{i}>0, i=0,1,2$. Thus, we require $u\left(x_{i}\right)=z_{i}$.
The function $u(x)$ is not linear in its coefficients, but $v(x)=\ln (u(x))$ is linear in its.
Find a quadratic polynomial $v(x)$ that interpolates appropriately defined three data pairs, and then give a formula for $u(x)$ in terms of the original data.
3. The gradient method (the steepest descent method) for solving a linear system, $\mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{b}$, where $\mathbf{A}$ is a real and symmetric positive definite $n \times n$ matrix, is given as follows:

> Given initial guess $\mathbf{x}_{0}$, for $k \geq 0$, we compute
> (i) $\mathbf{g}_{k}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{b}$
> (ii) $\rho_{k}=\mathbf{g}_{k}^{t} \mathbf{g}_{k} / \mathbf{g}_{k}^{t} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{g}_{k}$
> (iii) $\mathbf{x}_{k+1}=\mathbf{x}_{k}-\rho_{k} \mathbf{g}_{k}$.
(a) Verify that $\mathbf{g}_{k} \cdot \mathbf{g}_{k+1}=0$ for $k=0,1, \ldots$.
(b) Via the result in (a), can we prove that the gradient method always converges at most in $n$ iterations? Justify your answer.
(c) For the following descent method,

Given initial guess $\mathbf{x}_{0}$, for $k \geq 0$, we compute
(i) $\mathbf{g}_{k}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{k}-\mathbf{b}$,
(ii) $\mathbf{x}_{k+1}=\mathbf{x}_{k}-\alpha \mathbf{g}_{k}$,
find the conditions on $\alpha$ so that the revised descent method converges.
4. For computing the integral $\int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} \cos (x) f(x) d x$, find a two point quadrature formula

$$
S_{2}(f)=c_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right)+c_{2} f\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

which is exact for all polynomials of a maximal possible degree.
5. The modified Euler method for the approximation of the Cauchy problem is defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{n+1}=u_{n}+h f\left(t_{n+1}, u_{n}+h f\left(t_{n}, u_{n}\right)\right) \\
& u_{0}=y_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Find the region of stability for this method when applied to the test problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
y^{\prime}(t)=\lambda y(t), \quad t>0 \\
y(0)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{-}$.
6. Consider the matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 & -1 \\
-1 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

Is it possible to find the Cholesky factorization of $A$ ? If so, find the unique upper triangular matrix $H$ such that $A=H^{T} H$.
Assume that you have computed the upper triangular matrix affected by rounding errors $\widetilde{H}$ with $\widetilde{H}^{T} \widetilde{H}=$ $A+\delta A$. Find an estimate of $\|\delta A\|_{2}$ for the given matrix $A$.
7. Find all the functions $f(x)=a_{2} x^{2}+a_{1} x+a_{0}$ whose polynomial of best approximation of degree 1 on the interval [2,4] is $p_{1}^{*}(x)=0$.

## 8. (Interpolation and Weak Line Search)

A popular technique arising in methods for minimizing functions in several variables involves a weak line search, where an approximate minimum $x^{*}$ is found for a function in one variable, $f(x)$, for which the values of $f(0), f^{\prime}(0)$, and $f(1)$ are given. The function $f(x)$ is defined for all nonnegative $x$, has a continuous second derivative, and satisfies $f(0)<f(1)$ and $f^{\prime}(0)<0$. We then interpolate the given values by a quadratic polynomial and set $x^{*}$ as the minimum of the interpolant.
(a) Find $x^{*}$ for the values $f(0)=1, f^{\prime}(0)=-1, f(1)=2$.
(b) Show that the quadratic interpolant has a unique minimum satisfying $0<x^{*}<1$. Can you show the same for the function $f$ itself?

## 9. (Gaussian Elimination)

Given an $m$-by- $m$ nonsingular matrix $A$, how do you efficiently solve the following problems, using Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting?
(a) Solve the linear system $A^{k} x=b$, where $k$ is a positive integer.
(b) Compute $\alpha=c^{T} A^{-1} b$.
(c) Solve the matrix equation $A X=B$, where $B$ is $m$-by- $n$.

You should: (1) describe your algorithms, (2) present them in pseudocode (using a Matlab-like language), and (3) give the required flops.
10. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a strictly diagonally dominant $n \times n$ matrix. Show that the Jacobi iterative method generates a convergent sequence of approximate solutions when applying it to solve the linear system $\mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{B}$ for any initial guess $\mathbf{x}_{0}$.
11. Find solutions of the two systems of equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
x_{1}+3 x_{2} & =4 \\
x_{1}+3.00001 x_{2} & =4.00001
\end{array} \Longleftrightarrow A_{1} x=b\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
y_{1}+3 y_{2} & =4 \\
y_{1}+2.99999 y_{2} & =4.00001
\end{array} \Longleftrightarrow A_{2} y=b\right.
$$

Compute $\left\|A_{1}-A_{2}\right\|_{\infty}$ and $\|x-y\|_{\infty}$. Using the notion of the matrix condition number, explain why $\|x-y\|_{\infty}$ is much larger than $\left\|A_{1}-A_{2}\right\|_{\infty}$.
12. Consider the following two fixed point methods to find the root $z \approx 0.6$ of the equation $x+\ln x=0$ :

$$
\text { 1) } x_{n+1}=-\ln x_{n}, \quad \text { 2) } x_{n+1}=\exp \left(-x_{n}\right)
$$

Study the convergence of the methods and argue which one you would prefer.
13. Find the polynomial of best approximation $p_{1}^{*}(x)$ for $f(x)=|x|$ on $[-1,3]$.
14. For the solution of the linear system $A \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}$ with

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
2 & 3
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
3 \\
5
\end{array}\right]
$$

consider the following iterative method: given $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, find

$$
\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}=B(\theta) \mathbf{x}^{(k)}+\mathbf{g}(\theta) \quad \text { for } k \geq 0
$$

where $\theta$ is a real parameter and

$$
B(\theta)=\frac{1}{4}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 \theta^{2}+2 \theta+1 & -2 \theta^{2}+2 \theta+1 \\
-2 \theta^{2}+2 \theta+1 & 2 \theta^{2}+2 \theta+1
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{g}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2}-\theta \\
\frac{1}{2}-\theta
\end{array}\right]
$$

Address the following points:
(a) Check that the method is consistent $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}$.
(b) Determine the values of $\theta$ for which the method is convergent.
(c) Find the optimal value of $\theta$, i.e. the value of $\theta$ for which $\rho(B(\theta))$ is minimum.
15. Consider the function $f(x)=\ln (x)+6 \sqrt{x}-9$, which has a zero on the interval $[1,2]$. Given two fixed point methods $x=\phi_{i}(x), i=1,2$, where

$$
\phi_{1}(x)=\frac{(9-\ln (x))^{2}}{36} \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{2}(x)=e^{9-6 \sqrt{x}}
$$

verify that the zero of $f$ is a fixed point for $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$. Which method would you use to calculate the zero of $f$ ? Justify your answer.
16. Consider $f(x)=\sin (\pi x)$ on the interval $[-1,1]$. Find the polynomial $\Pi_{2} f(x)$ interpolating $f(x)$ at the Chebyshev nodes.
17. Given a nonsingular matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, consider the preconditioned nonstationary Richardson method:

$$
\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}=\mathbf{x}^{(k)}+\alpha_{k} P^{-1}\left(\mathbf{b}-A \mathbf{x}^{(k)}\right),
$$

where $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is nonsingular. Find a formula for the parameter $\alpha_{k}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}$ minimizes the Euclidean norm of the preconditioned residual $\left\|P^{-1}(A \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{b})\right\|_{2}$ among all vectors $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of the form:

$$
\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}^{(k)}+\alpha P^{-1}\left(\mathbf{b}-A \mathbf{x}^{(k)}\right)
$$

## 18. (Cholesky Factorization)

Given an $m$-by- $m$ symmetric and positive definite matrix $A$, how do you efficiently solve the following problems, using the Cholesky factorization of $A$ ?
(a) Solve the linear system $A^{k} x=b$, where $k$ is a positive integer.
(b) Compute $\alpha=c^{T} A^{-1} b$.
(c) Solve the matrix equation $A X=B$, where $B$ is $m$-by- $n$.

You should: (1) describe your algorithms, (2) present them in pseudocode (using a Matlab-like language), and (3) give the required flops.
19. (Orthogonal Polynomials)

Let $\phi_{0}(x), \phi_{1}(x), \phi_{2}(x), \ldots$ be a sequence of orthogonal polynomials on an interval $[a, b]$ with respect to a positive weight function $w(x)$. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be the $n$ zeros of $\phi_{n}(x)$; it is known that these roots are real and $a<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}<b$.
(a) Show that the Lagrange polynomials of degree $n-1$ based on these points are orthogonal to each other, so we can write

$$
\int_{a}^{b} w(x) L_{j}(x) L_{k}(x) d x=0, \quad j \neq k
$$

where

$$
L_{j}(x)=\prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\left(x-x_{k}\right)}{\left(x_{j}-x_{k}\right)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n
$$

(b) For a given function $f(x)$, let $y_{k}=f\left(x_{k}\right), k=1, \ldots, n$. Show that the polynomial $p_{n-1}(x)$ of degree at most $n-1$ that interpolates the function $f(x)$ at the zeros $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of the orthogonal polynomial $\phi_{n}(x)$ satisfies

$$
\left\|p_{n-1}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} y_{k}^{2}\left\|L_{k}\right\|^{2}
$$

in the weighted least squares norm. This norm for any suitably integrable function $g(x)$ is defined by

$$
\|g\|^{2}=\int_{a}^{b} w(x)[g(x)]^{2} d x
$$

20. Given a function $f(x)=\sin x$ on $[-\pi, \pi]$, we want to approximate $f$ by Lagrange interpolating polynomials $P_{n}(x)$ with equally spaced nodes, $x_{i}=-\pi+\frac{2 i \pi}{n}$, for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$, i.e., the supporting pairs are $\left\{\left(x_{i}, f\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\right\}_{i=0}^{n}$, and we have $P_{n}\left(x_{i}\right)=f\left(x_{i}\right)$, for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$. Does $P_{n}(x)$ converge to $f(x)$ on $[-\pi, \pi]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ?
21. We consider the initial value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime}(t)=f(t, y(t)), \quad t>0 \\
y(0)=\alpha
\end{array}\right.
$$

Define $h>0$ and $t_{i}=i h$ for $i=0,1, \ldots$. Let $w_{i}$ be the approximate solution of $y\left(t_{i}\right)$ obtained with the following Trapezoidal method:

$$
w_{i+1}=w_{i}+\frac{h}{2}\left(f\left(t_{i}, w_{i}\right)+f\left(t_{i+1}, w_{i+1}\right)\right)
$$

for $i=0,1, \ldots$, and $w_{0}=\alpha$. Find the stability condition on $h$ so that the modified Trapezoidal method is stable when applying it to the stiff problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime}=-\lambda y \\
y(0)=\alpha
\end{array}\right.
$$

with positive $\lambda$.
22. Find a parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the Richardson method

$$
x^{k+1}=x^{k}-\tau\left(A x^{k}-b\right)
$$

converges to a solution of $A x=b$, if

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
3 & 1 & & & \mathbf{0} \\
1 & 3 & 1 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & 1 & 3 & 1 \\
\mathbf{0} & & & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}
$$

23. Check if the following scheme approximates the equation $y^{\prime}=f(x, y)$ :

$$
\frac{1}{2 h}\left(y_{k}-y_{k-2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(f\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)+f\left(x_{k-2}, y_{k-2}\right)\right)
$$

Find the stability region for the scheme.
24. Consider the matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\epsilon & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a very small number (e.g., $10^{-15}$ ). Prove that the matrices $L$ and $U$ have entries very large in absolute value.
If rounding errors are accounted for, the $L U$ factorization yields matrices $\widehat{L}$ and $\widehat{U}$, such that $A+\delta A=$ $\widehat{L} \widehat{U}$. Explain why for the given matrix $A$, we do not have control on the size of the perturbation matrix $\delta A$.
Finally, check that by using GEM with pivoting we have control on the size of $\delta A$.
25. To compute numerically the integral $I(f)=\int_{0}^{2} f(x) d x$ with $f(x)=\frac{1}{1+x}$, consider the composite quadrature formula:

$$
I_{c}(f)=\frac{1}{10}[f(0)+2 f(0.2)+2 f(0.4)+\cdots+2 f(1.8)+f(2)]
$$

Find an estimate for:

$$
\left|I(f)-I_{c}(f)\right|
$$

26. Let $\left\{P_{0}(x), P_{1}(x), \ldots, P_{n}(x)\right\}$ be the set of Legendre polynomials satisfying the following properties:
i. For each $n, P_{n}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$.
ii. $\int_{-1}^{1} P(x) P_{n}(x) d x=0$ for any polynomial $P(x)$ of degree less than $n$.

Suppose that $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are the roots of the $n^{\text {th }}$ Legendre polynomial $P_{n}(x)$ and

$$
c_{i}=\int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \frac{x-x_{j}}{x_{i}-x_{j}} d x
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
(a) Show that if $P(x)$ is any polynomial of degree less than $2 n$, then

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} P(x) d x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} P\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

(b) For $n=2$, we have

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} P(x) d x=P\left(x_{1}\right)+P\left(x_{2}\right),
$$

for any polynomial $P(x)$ of degree at most three with $x_{1}=-\sqrt{3} / 3$ and $x_{2}=\sqrt{3} / 3$. Verify that

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} P(x, y) d x d y=P\left(x_{1}, x_{1}\right)+P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+P\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right)+P\left(x_{2}, x_{2}\right)
$$

where the degree of $P(x, y)$ in $x$ (resp., $y$ ) is at most three (resp., three).
27. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a real and symmetric positive definite $n \times n$ matrix. The linear system $\mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{b}$ is solved by the conjugate gradient method as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { Given initial guess } \mathbf{x}_{0} \text {, we compute } \\
\mathbf{g}_{0}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{0}-\mathbf{b} \text {, and } \mathbf{w}_{0}=-\mathbf{g}_{0} \text {. } \\
\text { For } k \geq 0 \text {, knowing } \mathbf{x}_{k} \text { we compute } \mathbf{x}_{k+1} \text { as follows: } \\
\text { (a). } \rho_{k}=\mathbf{g}_{k}^{t} \mathbf{g}_{k} / \mathbf{w}_{k}^{t} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}_{k}, \\
\text { (b). } \mathbf{x}_{k+1}=\mathbf{x}_{k}+\rho_{k} \mathbf{w}_{k}, \\
\text { (c). } \mathbf{g}_{k+1}=\mathbf{g}_{k}+\rho_{k} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{w}_{k}, \\
\text { (d). } \beta_{k}=\mathbf{g}_{k+1}^{t} \mathbf{g}_{k+1} / \mathbf{g}_{k}^{t} \mathbf{g}_{k}, \\
\text { (e). } \mathbf{w}_{k+1}=-\mathbf{g}_{k+1}+\beta_{k} \mathbf{w}_{k} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Suppose that with a given initial guess $\mathbf{x}_{0}$, the initial error $\mathbf{x}_{0}-\mathbf{x}$ has an expression of the form

$$
\mathbf{x}_{0}-\mathbf{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}=\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{g}_{0}
$$

where $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{n}$ are eigenvectors of $\mathbf{A}$. Let $m$ be the number of nonzero coefficients in the set $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right\}$. Prove that the conjugate gradient method converges in $m$ iterations with the initial guess $\mathrm{x}_{0}$.
28. To obtain an approximate solution of the initial value problem

$$
y^{\prime}(x)=0, \quad y(0)=\alpha,
$$

we apply the following linear multistep method:

$$
\eta_{j+2}=-9 \eta_{j+1}+10 \eta_{j}+\frac{h}{2}\left(13 f\left(x_{j+1}, \eta_{j+1}\right)+9 f\left(x_{j}, \eta_{j}\right)\right), \quad j \geq 0 .
$$

Let the starting values be $\eta_{0}=\alpha$ and $\eta_{1}=\alpha+\epsilon(\epsilon=$ machine precision $)$. What values $\eta_{j}$ are to be expected for arbitrary $h$ ? Is this linear multistep method convergent?

## 29. (Runge-Kutta Method and Numerical Solution of ODEs)

Consider Heun's method

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{h}{2}\left[f_{n}+f\left(t_{n+1}, y_{n}+h f_{n}\right)\right] .
$$

(a) Show that Heun's method is an explicit two-stage Runge-Kutta method.
(b) Prove that Heun's method has order 2 with respect to $h$.
(c) Sketch the region of absolute stability of the method in the complex plane.

