UNIVERSITY of HOUSTON EDUCATION

Higher Education and Policy Studies Ph.D. Program <u>Doctoral Comprehensive Examination Portfolio</u>

This document describes the doctoral comprehensive examination format that utilizes a *portfolio* approach and allows students in the Higher Education and Policy Studies (HELPS) program to document their curriculum, research, teaching, and other relevant professional competencies that they have attained from coursework and various academic experiences during the course of their doctoral studies. Publications, conferences, teaching experiences, grant writing skills, consultant experiences, and other competencies are crucial to obtaining faculty and professional positions after graduation. Successful completion of this process will serve to satisfy the College of Education requirements for the doctoral comprehensive examination. The policies and procedures for the doctoral comprehensive examination format, hereafter referred to as the Comprehensive Examination Portfolio, are presented on the following pages.

Comprehensive Examination Portfolio: Required Components

The Comprehensive Examination Portfolio requires students to gather and present evidence that they have successfully completed each of <u>six components</u> that together make up the portfolio (see Table 1). Except where specifically noted in these policies or previously approved, accomplishments or experiences substantially completed *prior* to initial enrollment in the HELPS program can *not* be used to satisfy any of the portfolio components. It is in the best interest of the student to consult with the student's advisor from the beginning of the doctoral studies on the best way to match portfolio components to the student's career goals. The importance of seeking faculty input on these matters cannot be overstated!

Comprehensive Examination Portfolio: Supporting Evidence

Students must provide specific supporting evidence to document that they have successfully completed each of the necessary components of the Comprehensive Examination Portfolio. Table 1 shows the evidence required for each component. Whenever possible, materials must be submitted on-line via *MyAdvisor* (signed forms or other artifacts should be scanned and submitted as PDFs). In addition, faculty on the Doctoral Comprehensive Examination Committee may request specific additional materials when necessary to better document the successful completion of any component.

Eligibility

All incoming students to the HELPS program must satisfy the comprehensive portfolio requirement.

Comprehensive Examination Portfolio Evaluation Committee

Each academic year, a three-person Comprehensive Examination Portfolio Evaluation Committee will be established with one member serving as chair for the given year (hereafter referred to as the Evaluation Committee). Service on this committee will be rotated on an annual basis among program area faculty. Faculty will be precluded from evaluating portfolios submitted by their student advisees (the committee chair will designate a substitute faculty

10/4/20 updated

member who replaces the faculty advisor during the evaluation of the given doctoral comprehensive examination). This committee will be responsible for evaluating the evidence or portfolio materials submitted by each student. The committee will review submitted materials at the beginning of the Fall semester, the end of the Fall semester, and the end of the Spring semester. The submission dates will be announced each fall semester.

The chair of the Evaluation Committee will convey results to the HELPS program coordinator and the student's faculty advisor. Results of the evaluation will be communicated to the student and recorded via *MyAdvisor* no more than three weeks after the committee has reviewed all submitted portfolios. It will <u>not</u> be possible for doctoral students to meet with any member of the Evaluation Committee to discuss or review the outcomes of the evaluation process.

Evaluation Procedures

Each member of the Evaluation Committee will consider independently all materials submitted by each student. Next, the Evaluation Committee will meet to complete a single *Doctoral Comprehensive Examination Evaluation Form* (see Appendix C) for each portfolio. Using this form, the Evaluation Committee will assign a score of Pass or Fail to each of the individual aspects that comprise each component. A minimum of two committee members must agree that the student has fulfilled the necessary requirements for any particular aspect within each component in order for the student to be assigned a Pass and successfully complete that portion of the portfolio. In addition, each of the six components will be assigned a score of Pass/Fail and the portfolio as a whole will be given an overall score of Pass or Fail. Each aspect of each component must be rated as a Pass in order to get a Pass for that component, and all six components must receive a Pass in order for the overall portfolio to be given an overall score of Pass. The Evaluation Committee will indicate in writing some indication of why a student has failed to pass the doctoral comprehensive examination and identify any specific deficiencies needing improvement.

If a majority of the Evaluation Committee determines that the student has not fulfilled the necessary requirements for any particular component of the portfolio, the student has failed that component and cannot pass the overall Comprehensive Examination Portfolio. For any subsequent submission deadline, students can revise and resubmit their portfolio materials. Any specific portfolio components that were passed in the first doctoral comprehensive examination submission will be considered passed for the second doctoral comprehensive examination submission and <u>not</u> be evaluated again. The student will only be required to resubmit supporting materials (i.e., revised doctoral comprehensive examination submission form, revised supporting evidence) for the doctoral comprehensive examination component(s) that he/she did not pass on a first attempt. Alternatively, the student may opt to submit supporting materials for a specific doctoral comprehensive examination component that was not previously reviewed by the doctoral comprehensive examination evaluation committee but also satisfies the requirements and in this sense replaces the originally reviewed component.

A doctoral student can apply and submit Comprehensive Examination Portfolio materials for review a <u>maximum of two times</u>. A student who fails to pass all components of the Comprehensive Examination Portfolio upon the second attempt will be terminated from the HELPS program and become ineligible for the degree.

Timeline

In the first year of the doctoral program the student completes the *Doctoral Comprehensive Exam Intent Form* (see Appendix A for example). The students are advised to **initiate work on**

10/4/20 updated

the required doctoral comprehensive examination components in the first year of doctoral studies as it often will take two or three years to satisfy all requirements. Students must have submitted the *Doctoral Comprehensive Exam Intent Form* and successfully defended their candidacy paper before they can submit materials or be reviewed for the Comprehensive Examination Portfolio. Successful completion of the Comprehensive Examination Portfolio must occur before the student will be permitted to form a committee and defend the proposal for his/her dissertation. Students are required to submit their portfolio for review at least one time no later than during the student's third year of doctoral studies. Students who fail to meet this requirement will be considered students not in good standing and risk termination from the program.

Annual Ph.D. Student Review Report

Each year students in the HELPS program complete and submit the *Annual Ph.D. Student Review Report*. This report includes a section used by program area faculty to gather information regarding the student's progress towards completing the comprehensive examination requirements. Note, however, that it is the faculty advisor who is responsible for providing *annual* and specific feedback to his/her doctoral student advisees on their progress toward satisfying the components of the Comprehensive Examination Portfolio.

Consideration of Exceptions to the Stated Policies and Procedures

Students may request modifications, waivers, or exceptions to the policies or procedures for the Comprehensive Emanation Portfolio process using a *General Petition*. As part of this form, the student should describe the specific request they are making, provide a detailed explanation for why the request is necessary, and why it is justified. This form should be submitted via *MyAdvisor*. Modifications or changes must be approved by the students' Advisor, the HELPS program coordinator, the Department Chair, the Dean of the College of Education or his/her designee.

Table 1: Doctoral Comprehensive Examination Portfolio Components and Required Supporting Materials

In order to pass, students must provide the evidence required to demonstrate that they have successfully completed each of the six components listed below.

Component	Required Supporting Materials	
1. Doctoral Comprehensive Examination Submission Form		
Students must submit a completed submission form (see Appendix B) along with all supporting materials prior to the stated deadline in order for their portfolio to be reviewed.	Completed, signed and dated form.	
2. Goal Statement and Curriculum Vitae		
Students should describe their career goals, the activities that they completed for their Comprehensive Examination Portfolio, and what they gained from each activity	Copy of goals statement that is approximately three double-space pages with 12 font-size text and 1-inch margins on all sides.	
Curriculum Vitae	Copy of CV meeting professional standards.	
3. Foundations (<u>all</u> of the following activities must be successfully completed to satisfy this component):	(approved forms must be approved at all levels)	
3a. Doctoral Comprehensive Exam Intent Form	Copy of signed and dated <i>Doctoral Comprehensive Exam Intent Form</i>	
3b. Residency requirements (see the red <i>Ph.D. Program Student Handbook</i>) were satisfied	Copy of approved Residency Forms (Fall and Spring) for 1st year	
3c. Coursework in core statistics and research methods (EDRS 8380, EDRS 8382, and SAER 8320) was completed with a grade of B- or better.	Copy of UH-transcript showing successful completion of courses EDRS 8380, EDRS 8382, and SAER 8320	
3d. Coursework in core content courses (ELCS 8355 and CUST 8375) was completed with a grade of B- or better.	Copy of UH-transcript showing successful completion of courses ELCS 8355 and CUST 8375	
3e. Candidacy research paper was successfully defended or, students had the candidacy research paper requirement waived based on their completion of a Master's thesis.	Copy of <u>approved</u> <i>Departmental Candidacy Report</i> form, or other evidence that the departmental candidacy research paper requirements were satisfied.	
3f. Degree Plan was filed and approved	Copy of approved Degree Plan.	

4. Scholarship (<u>two</u> of the following components must be successfully completed to satisfy this component):

- 4a. One first-authored *manuscript submission* to refereed journal (typically an approximately 25-page data-based manuscript; published abstracts are *not* acceptable)
- 4b. Two co-authored *manuscript submissions* to refereed journals (one of the two co-authored manuscripts can be a bookchapter; published abstracts are *not* acceptable)
- 4c. Consultant activities on two different occasions as lead author (student must be the lead each time; can be for the same institution, but student must work on two distinct tasks/projects)
- 4d. One presentation at a *national* or *international* conference, such as AERA, ASHE, AIR, CSCC, NASPA (student must be first-author).
- 4e. Two presentations at a *national, international, state* or *regional* conference, such as AERA, ASHE, AIR, CSCC, NASPA, SERA (student must be first or second-author on coauthored presentations). Note that local conferences such as the *Houston Symposium for Research in Education* do <u>not</u> meet this requirement.
- 4f. Grant proposal submission as lead author (typically this will be for an individual fellowship grant, or a minority fellowship grant, for instance from AERA, NSF; grant proposal submission to other sources such as U.S. Department of Education or private foundations is also acceptable as long as the student is the lead author, PI, or a co-PI).

If submitted/rejected/in press, provide copy of manuscript and letter of receipt or acceptance from journal editor; if already published, provide copy of published article; also provide evidence that the journal has a peer-review process.

If submitted/rejected/in press, provide copy of manuscripts and letters of receipt or acceptance from journal or book editors; if already published, provide copy of published article(s) or book chapter with cover page; also provide evidence that the journal(s) has/have a peer-review process.

Provide final products or executive summaries of the consultation activities plus letters from recipients of the consultation acknowledging receipt of the final products and student's role.

Provide hardcopy of presentation(s), cover sheet and page listing your presentation(s) and authorship from the conference program booklet(s), conference registration receipt(s), and either hotel or flight receipt(s).

Provide hardcopy of presentation(s), cover sheet and page listing your presentation(s) and authorship from the conference program booklet(s), conference registration receipt(s), and either hotel or flight receipt(s).

Provide copy of grant proposal and evidence of submission and first-authorship or role on the project (e.g., UH transmittal form, letter of receipt from the reviewing institution).

5. Teaching (<u>one</u> of the following components must be successfully completed to satisfy this component):

5a. Graduate Teaching Assistant at U of H for one semester (undergraduate or graduate course; on-line or face-to-face course), with an evaluation component (i.e., *Teaching Checklist* in Appendix D plus <u>student course evaluations</u>)

5b. University Teaching Practicum in ELPS with at least two guest lectures held by the student, each with an evaluation component (i.e., *Teaching Checklist* in Appendix D plus student course evaluations)

5c. Instructor at Community College, Other College, or Other University for one semester (undergraduate or graduate course; on-line or face-to-face course) with an evaluation component (i.e., student course evaluations)

5d. Developed In-Service Training as lead author and applied it to a substantial number of individuals (about 100 individuals) with an evaluation component (i.e., participant evaluations). Note that instructional responsibilities for PK-12 students do not satisfy this requirement.

Provide course syllabus, lecture materials (if applicable), student course evaluations of the TA or guest lecture (if the TA cannot provide student course evaluations because he/she did not have any interactions with the students, then this must be verified by the faculty supervisor), *Teaching Checklist* (see Appendix D)

Provide course syllabus, lecture materials or other supporting documents for two the two guest lectures, and student course evaluations, *Teaching Checklist* (see Appendix D).

Provide course syllabus, lecture materials or other supporting documents for three class meetings, and student course evaluations.

Provide an executive summary of the developed in-service training and any accompanying hardcopy materials (e.g., training materials, instruments used for assessment), as well as evidence of its application and evaluation by the participants (e.g., announcement flyer, letter from the participating institution, evaluation forms completed by participants after the training)

6. Professional Development (<u>one</u> of the listed activities must be successfully completed to satisfy this component):	
 Attendance at Teacher Institute Workshop (e.g., during AERA, ASHE; CSCC; workshop must last for minimum of one-half day) Attendance at Grant-Writing for Students and Early Career Scholars Seminar (e.g., during AERA, AIR, ASHE) or at Grant- Writing Workshop organized by a community, government, or educational institution (typically focused on grant writing for service, training, or program evaluation grants) 	Provide evidence documenting your attendance at workshop or seminar (announcement flyer of workshop outlining the contents and duration, receipt for registration fee, and hotel receipt)
 Attendance at How to Get Published as Student or Early Career Scholar Seminar (e.g., during AERA, AIR, ASHE) Attendance at one external seminar (e.g., on specific statistical technique, research or data collection method, research theme, or research software package; seminar must last for minimum of one-half day), typically offered as preconference workshop or as part of a graduate summer School Attendance to HESA Research Bootcamp 	

Note. AERA= American Educational Research Association; AIR= Association for Institutional Research; ASHE= American Association for the Study of Higher Education; GSO= Graduate Student Organization; NASPA= National Association of Student Personnel Administrators; NSF= National Science Foundation; SERA= Southwest Educational Research Association.

Appendix A: Doctoral Comprehensive Exam Intent Form

Submit the completed form on-line by the end of the first year of doctoral studies to MyAdvisor.

PURPOSE: The Doctoral Comprehensive Exam Intent Form is designed to allow students to get approval to apply for the comprehensive examination evaluation. All students must submit a Doctoral Comprehensive Exam Intent Form as an attachment to a General Petition in *MyAdvisor* before submitting the comprehensive examination. They must also file an application to take comps through the Comprehensive Examination field in MyAdvisor.

Students who take the doctoral comprehensive examination portfolio the 2nd time must repeat both steps by the given deadline (i.e., file a new intent form & another application).

Student N	Name:			Date:		
Student I	D:			Faculty Advisor:		
Student I	Day Phone:			Student Evening Phone:		
Student E	Email Address:			Semester/Yr. Program Started:		
Intent to S	Submit Comprel	hensive Examir	nation Po	ortfolio in (Circle one):		
	Early Fall	Late Fall	SpringYear			
Student S	Signature:			Date:		

Appendix B: Doctoral Comprehensive Examination Submission Form & Checklist

Student Name:	Date:
Student ID:	Faculty Advisor:
Student Day Phone:	Student Evening Phone:
Student Email Address:	
First Semester Enrolled as Doctoral Student in Prog	gram:Year
Number of Comprehensive Examination Submission	on (Circle one): 1 st 2 nd

If this is a <u>resubmission</u> of a revised comprehensive examination, then you must also enclose a copy of <u>the first</u> Doctoral Comprehensive Examination Evaluation Form (you do not need to resubmit the first doctoral comprehensive examination submission).

Instruction: Please indicate which supporting evidence you submitted for the selected components along with this submission form (mark the corresponding box with an "X").

Component		Required Supporting Materials	
1. Comprehensive Examination Submission Form			
	Completed submission form.	Completed, signed and dated.	
2. G	pal Statement		
Written statement with all components.		Copy of goals statement that is approximately three double-spaced pages with 12 font-size text and 1-inch margins on all sides.	
	Curriculum Vita	Copy of CV	
	oundations (<u>all</u> of the following activities must be succoved forms must be approved at all levels)	cessfully completed to satisfy this component)	
	3a. Comprehensive Exam Intent Form	Copy of signed and dated <i>Comprehensive Exam Intent Form</i> .	
	3b. Residency requirements.	Copy of approved Residency Forms for 1st year	
	3c. Coursework in core statistics and research methods.	Copy of UH-transcript showing successful completion of these courses.	
3d. Coursework in core content courses.		Copy of UH-transcript showing successful completion of these courses.	
	3e. Candidacy research paper.	Copy of approved Departmental Candidacy Report form, or other evidence that the requirements were satisfied.	
	3f. Degree Plan	Copy of approved Degree Plan.	

4. Scholarship (two of the following components must be successfully completed to satisfy this component):				
4a. One first-authored manuscript	t submission.	If submitted/rejected/in press:		
			Copy of manuscript	
			Letter of receipt or acceptance from journal editor	
			Evidence that the journal has a peer-review process.	
		If alre	ady published:	
			Copy of published article	
			Evidence that the journal has a peer-review process.	
4b. Two co-authored manuscript.	submissions.	If sub	mitted/rejected/in press:	
			Copy of manuscript	
			Letters of receipt or acceptance from journal or book editors	
			Evidence that the journal(s) has/have a peer-review process.	
		If already published:		
			Copy of published article(s) or book chapter with cover page	
			Evidence that the journal(s) has/have a peer-review process.	
4c. Two consultant activities as le	ead author.		Final products or executive summaries	
			Letters from recipients of the consultation acknowledging receipt of the final products and student's role.	
4d. One presentation at a <i>national</i>			Copy of presentation(s)	
NASPA (student must be first-aut OR			Cover sheet and page listing your presentation(s) and authorship from the conference program booklet(s)	
4e. Two presentations at a <i>national</i> state or <i>regional</i> conference, such			Conference registration receipt(s)	
ASHE, AIR, CSCC, NASPA, SE be first or second-author on co-au presentations). Note that local cor	ASHE, AIR, CSCC, NASPA, SERA (student must be first or second-author on co-authored presentations). Note that local conferences such as the <i>Houston Symposium for Research in Education</i> do not meet this requirement		Either hotel or flight receipt(s)	
4f. Grant proposal submission as	lead author.		Copy of grant proposal	
			Evidence of submission	
			Evidence of role on the project	

5. Teaching (one of the following components must be successfully completed to satisfy this component):					
	5a. Graduate Teaching Assistant at U. of H.		Course syllabus		
			Lecture materials (if applicable)		
			Student course evaluations		
			Teaching Checklist (Appendix D)		
	5b. University Teaching Practicum		Course syllabus		
			Lecture materials (if applicable) or other supporting documents		
			Student course evaluations		
			Teaching Checklist (Appendix D)		
	5c. Instructor at Community College or other		Course syllabus		
	Post-secondary setting		Lecture materials (if applicable) or other supporting documents		
			Student course evaluations		
	5d. In-Service Training		Executive summary of the in-service training		
			Any hardcopy of materials of the inservice training		
			Evidence of its application		
			Evidence of evaluation by the participants		
	ofessional Development (one of the listed activities nonent):	nust be	successfully completed to satisfy this		
	Attendance at Teacher Institute WorkshopAttendance at Grant-Writing Workshop		Announcement flyer of workshop outlining the contents and duration		
	- Attendance at How To Get Published		Receipt for registration fee		
	Seminar - Attendance at one external seminar		Hotel receipt		
	- Attendance to HESA Research Bootcamp				
Stud	lent Signature:		Date:		
Stud	Butter Dignature				

Appendix C: Doctoral Comprehensive Examination Evaluation Form

Student Name:	Student ID:		
Faculty Advisor:			
Chair of Comprehensive Examination Portfolio Committee:	Additional Members:		
Date Comprehensive Examination Portfolio was reviewed:			

I. Evaluation of Specific Components of the Doctoral Comprehensive Examination:

Based on the review by the Comprehensive Examination Evaluation Committee, the doctoral student has PASSED or FAILED the given component.

	Passed	Failed	N/A
1. Comprehensive Submission Form was submitted			
2. Goals Statement and Curriculum Vita			
2a. Goals Statement			
2b. Curriculum Vita			
3. Foundations (all must be successfully completed):			
3a. Comprehensive Exam Intent Form was submitted			
3b. Residency requirements			
3c. Coursework in core statistics and research methods			
3d. Coursework in content courses			
3e. Candidacy research paper			
3f. Degree Plan			
4. Scholarship (<u>two</u> of the following):			
4a. One first-authored manuscript submission			
4b. Two co-authored manuscript submissions			
4c. Two consultant activities as lead author			
4d. One presentation at a <i>national</i> or <i>international</i> conference, such as AERA, ASHE, AIR, CSCC, NASPA (student must be first-author). OR			
4e. Two presentations at a <i>national</i> , <i>international</i> , <i>state</i> or <i>regional</i> conference, such as AERA, ASHE, AIR, CSCC, NASPA, SERA (student must be first or second-author on co-authored presentations). Note that local conferences such as the <i>Houston Symposium for Research in Education</i> do <u>not</u> meet this requirement			
4e. Grant proposal submission as lead author			

5. Teaching (one of the f	following components):				
5a. Graduate Teaching A	Assistant				
5b. University Teaching	Practicum				
5c. Instructor at Commu	nity College, etc.				
5d. Developed In-Servic	e Training				
6. Professional Develop	ment (one of the following)	:			
- Attendance at Te	eacher Institute Workshop				
- Attendance at G	rant-Writing Workshop				
- Attendance at H	ow to Get Published Semin	ar			
- Attendance at or	ne external seminar				
- Attendance to H	ESA Research Bootcamp				
II. Overall Ouality of State of the personal Excellent	-	sive Examination Materia Has problems		N/A	
2. Is there congruence examination? Excellent	between the personal goaAcceptable	als statement and the comp		N/A	
specific competenci Excellent	es?Acceptable	_	1	N/A	
4. Overall is the compr presented? Excellent	ehensive examination we	ell-written, well-organizedHas problems	•	ssionally N/A	
Comments on the over submission (e.g., stren		itted doctoral comprehen	sive exan	nination	

Overall Doctoral Comprehensive Examination Evaluation (check one):			
The doctoral student has <u>passed</u> the Doctoral Comprehensive Examination.			
The doctoral student has <u>failed to pass</u> the Doctoral Comprehensive Examination this time, but can resubmit another Doctoral Comprehensive Examination Submission Form along with supporting evidence.			
The doctoral student has <u>failed to pass</u> the Doctoral Comprehensive Examination two times, and cannot resubmit again.			

Appendix D: Teaching Checklist

Submit the completed form together with the *Doctoral Comprehensive Examination Submission Form* before the specified deadline on-line to *MyAdvisor* (signed forms should be scanned in and submitted as PDF-file).

PURPOSE: Faculty who supervised the doctoral student in the Teacher Practicum or the TA must fill out and sign the form to indicate student activities.

Stu	dent Name:	Date:				
Student ID: Faculty Supervisor:						
Stu	dent Day Phone:	one:				
Stu	Student Email Address:					
Ser	nester of teaching (Circle one): Fall S	Spring Summer		year		
Cor	urse taught/assisted (list course number):					
Gra	iduate Teaching Experience (Circle one):	TA Teaching I	Practicum			
	Student Activities	<u> </u>				
	(Check appropriate box for ea		YES	NO		
1	Grading assignments and exams					
2	Providing feedback to students on assignment	ents and exams				
3	Giving class lecture					
4	Preparing course materials					
5	Supervising lab session					
6	Interacting face-to-face or on-line with enro	olled class				
7.	Other (briefly describe activity):					
8.	Student course evaluations on the supervised student were collected					
Faculty Supervisor's Signature: Date						